Numerical simulation of large hydraulic systems using a multi-domain approach

Santiago F. Corzo^{*a*}, Johan A. Sarache Piña^{*a*}, Dario Godino^{*a*}, Antonella L. Costa^{*b*}, Claubia Pereira^{*b*}, Damian E. Ramajo^{*a*}

^{*a*} Centro de Investigacion de Metodos Computacionales CIMEC-UNL/CONICET, Santa Fé, Argentina

^bDepartamento de Engenharia Nuclear Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil

23/10/2019



Nuclear New Horizons: Fueling or Future October 21-25, 2019 - Santos, SP, Brazil

Μ

CONICET

UNL

C

Introduction

Motivation

Sensible engineering fields, like the nuclear safety assessment, involve the analysis of large installations composed of very different scale components, where single and two-phase flows take place. Thus, the verification of plant accidents has forced to the community to use System Codes like RELAP and ATHLET, which are based on the domain reduction and the use of empirical correlations.



Governing Equations

1. Momentum equation:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho \mathbf{U})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}) = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot \tau + \rho \mathbf{g}$$

2. Volume and temporal discretization:

$$U = U_0 + \Delta t \left[(p_{inl} - p_{out}) / (\rho L) + g(h_{inl} - h_{out}) / L \right]$$

$$+\Delta t \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda \frac{L}{D_h} U^2 + \frac{1}{2} K U^2 \right) / L + \Delta P_{ext} \right]$$

3. Algebraic solution:

$$\mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{n}} = U^{n-1} + \Delta t \left[(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{bc},1}^{\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{bc},2}^{\mathbf{n}}) / (\rho L) + g(h_{inl} - h_{out}) / L \right]$$
$$+ \Delta t f(\lambda, (\mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{n}})^2) / L + (\Delta t \mathbf{H}g) / L$$
where $H(\rho) = C_1 \rho^2 + C_2 \rho + C_3$

where $H(Q) = C_1 Q^2 + C_2 Q + C_3$



Government Equations:

In order to solve the heat transfer 0D model, the Effectiveness-NTU Method was implemented.







Governing Equations: 0D Thermal model

For the BC specification in OpenFOAM, the user data file input contains the following parameters for the velocity and temperature fields at the INLET/OUTLET patches.

INL/OUT

type	couplingF	PipeFixedValue;	INL /OUT		
master	yes;	//Is the master patch?	1		
neiPatchName	"OUT";	//Nei. patch []	type	heatPipeI	PFixedValue :
hStart	0.0;	//Master height [m]	neiPatchName	OUT;	//Nei. patch []
hEnd	2.978;	//Neighbour height [m]	Α	47;	//Heat transfer area [m2]
Lline	37.0;	//Pipe length [m]	mS	11.1;	//Secondary mass flow rate [kg/s]
rug	1e - 5;	//Pipe roughness [m]	TiS	299.85;	//Secondary inlet temperature [K]
kEff	2001.2;	//Eff. loss coeff. []	Ugl	836.8;	//Global heat transfer coef. [W/m2K]
Dh	0.1698;	//Hydr. diameter [m]	rho	1000;	//Mean density [kg/m3]
pmpC1	-0.01;	//Pump coefficient []	Cp	4174.4;	//Mean heat capacity [J/kg/K]
pmpC2	0.0;	//Pump coefficient []	}		
pmpC3	13.0:	//Pump coefficient []			
1 1					



Numerical Model

- Computational domain: $\approx 800,000$ cells.
- Domain simplifications: Only the inlet/outlet pipes and the reflector were considered.
- Solver: Compressible (buoyantPimpleFoam).
- Turbulence model: Realizable $k \epsilon$.
- Core pressure drop: Porous media was chosen. A
 Forchheimer coefficient F = 60 was adopted.
- Core power: It was imposed as a volumetric power source.



Results - Steady-state condition

The steady state conditions were assessed for two power conditions:

- Case 1: 100kW
- Case 2: 265kW (*Mesquita et al.*,2011).

The simulation was carried out for 17h, using parallel computing.

For each hour of problem simulation, 3,42h of CPU time was required.

Hydraulic system							
Parameter	Value	Unit					
Total lenght	37.0	[m]					
Stot	2001.2	[-]					
Pump coef.	-0.01, 0.0, 13.0	$[ms^2/L^2]\;[ms/L]\;[m]$					
Roughness	1×10^{-6}	$[\mu m]$					
Heat exchanger							
Parameter	Value	Unit					
Area	47.0	$[m^2]$					
Ext. flow rate	11.1	[kg/s]					
Ext. flow inlet ttemp.	299.8	[K]					
Ugl.	836.8	[W/m2K]					



Steady-state condition





Results - Steady-state condition



External circuit								
Parameter	Case 1 $$	(Unit					
-	Result	Result	Experiment	Unit				
Power	100	265	265	[kW]				
\dot{m}	7.95	7.94	7.8	$[\mathrm{Kg/s}]$				
T_{bulk}	305.85	315.15	-	[K]				
T_{inl}	302.54	306.46	-	[K]				
T_{out}	305.73	314.26	-	[K]				
Core								
ṁ	3.4	4.7	4.42	[Kg/s]				
T_{core}	309.46	321.7	-	[K]				
T_{inl}	305.72	314.5	-	[K]				
T_{out}	312.72	327.9	-	[K]				
ΔT	7.0	13.4	14.3	[K]				



Loss of forced convection event

- Event: A primary pump shut-down condition was simulated.
- Consequences: The loss of forced convection leads to a progressive coolant heating because the free-surface heat transfer is not enough.
- Core power: A constant core power of 265kW was assumed.
- The simulation was carried out for 5000s.





Results and analysis

Loss of forced convection event



Loss of coolant accident

- Event: A downstream 2A-LOCA pump condition was simulated.
- Solver: The compressible Volume of Fluid (VOF) solver (compressibleInterFoam) was used to track the free surface motion.
- Core power: A constant core power of 100kW was assumed.
- Consequences: A quick increase of the flow rate in the pump is caused by the loss of downstream circuit.





Loss of coolant accident



INAC 2019 - R02-021

23/10/2019 13/16

Conclusions

- The work address with the implementation of a new dynamic boundary condition in OpenFOAM 5.0, for coupling 0D and 3D CFD domains.
- The model was used to simulate operational and accidental conditions in the TRIGA MARK I reactor with different core powers.
- The TRIGA simulation results were in good agreement respect the design and experimental data.
- Loss of forced convection event: The pump shutdown quickly reduced the flow in the external circuit. The natural convection governs the flow circulation. In consequence, the reactor heats with a constant rate.
- Loss of coolant event: A quick increase of the flow rate in the pump is leaded by the loss of downstream circuit. The core is uncovered after 500s. Even though core temperature rising, saturation condition is not achieved.

The authors would like to thank:

- Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais.
- The Brazilian agencies CAPES, CNPq and FAPEMIG.
- Universidad Nacional del Litoral (CAI+D 2016 PIC 50420150100067LI).
- Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (PICT 2016-2908)
- Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Tecnológicas.



Thank for your attention!

