

# Study of nuclear space reactors for exploration missions

Elisa CLIQUET\*, Jean-Marc RUAULT\*, Frédéric MASSON\*



Christine POINOT-SALANON\*\*\*

Novembre 2013

# Introduction

We considered **nuclear electric propulsion based on fission** Power density of nuclear fission much higher than chemical process

**For many interplanetary missions, nuclear electric propulsion the only option** offering a reasonable mass in low earth orbit.

Existence of low power experiences - SNAP10 in the 60's or Buk/Topaz in the 60-80's – but no high power reactor developed (<10kWe)



TOPAZ – 5kWe

From 2005, studies going on in France and Europe, on space reactors for exploration. **3 classes of power considered recently: 10kWe, 100kWe, and > 1 megawatt** <u>Results: preliminary designs + list of critical technologies needing maturation activities</u>

#### French know-how and background:

- In ground and on-board nuclear reactors (CEA, Areva)
- Conversion system and electric thrusters (Snecma)
- European launch capabilities (Ariane in guyana: CNES, Astrium, Arianespace)



## Architecture of nuclear-electric propulsion



- Nuclear core provides thermal energy via the coolant fluid
- Conversion converts thermal energy into electric energy
- Radiators provide the cold source of the cycle
- Electric energy feeds electric thrusters
- Shield protects all the parts downstream of the core

3 Titre du document + date Arial 8 → à modifier dans le masque (Affichage/Masque/Masque des diapositives)

# 100 kWe system study

limited to power generation system (reactor, shielding, conversion, radiators)

not dedicated to a specific mission

#### Main requirements

cnes

AREVA

- Specific mass of about 30 kg/kW
- Fitting under Ariane 5 fairing
- 3 years of operation at full power and 10 years of mission

#### Criteria for technology selection

- 1. specific mass, cost and operating versatility
- 2. reliability and safety
- 3. maturity of technologies
- 4. European independence

#### **Methodology**

- 1. Screening of possible technologies (supported by large bibliography)
- 2. Simplified modeling of candidates technologies
- 3. Trade-off at system level based on coupling of those models
- 4. Preliminary design of two options Gas cooled reactor and Liquid metal cooled reactor



# **Technologies selected for trade-off**

#### <u>Reactor</u> : either 1700kWth (low efficiency conversion) or 350 KWth

Coolant	cladding	Moderator (if any)	Fuel
Na-K (1100K)	Steel	ZrH <sub>2</sub>	UC 93% or 20% UO <sub>2</sub> 93% or 20%
<sup>7</sup> Li (1500K)	Mo-Re	fast spectrum	UC 93% or 20%
He-Xe (1500K)	Mo-Re	BeO or Fast spectrum	UC 93% or 20% UO2 93%

#### Conversion :

cnes

AREVA

- Static: thermo-electric (La<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>, Si-Ge), thermo-ionic, Alkali-metal thermoelectric
- Or dynamic: Stirling, Brayton, Rankine/Hirn, thermo-acoustic

#### Radiators:

- heat pipes,
- gas circulation with pumps,
- or droplet radiators



# system sizing and trade-offs

- For fuel: UO2 : available and wide pre-existing operational experience in France
  It requires a fast spectrum with highly enriched fuel to optimize the mass
- **Highest possible core temperature** is the best: better cycle efficiency → use of Li or He-Xe as coolant.
- For conversion, thermo-electric and Brayton seems the best options.
- **Temperature of the cold source** is a compromize between high temperature/ high radiator performance and cold temperature/high carnot efficiency.





Optimisation of the cold temperature for a system using Brayton conversion



# Liquid metal cooled reactor with thermoelectric conversion



- Highly enriched UO<sub>2</sub> needles
- Fast spectrum
- Li cooled (Heat pipes with NbZr wall)
- Reactivity control drums: Be-B<sub>4</sub>C
- Core to be separated in subcritcal parts in case of launch failure
  - $\rightarrow$  reactor + core : 13kg/kW
- Conversion :
  - 187 heat pipes x 10 Units of 20 thermoelements (Si-Ge)
  - Optimized leg length
  - Cold heat pipes K
- $\rightarrow$  reactor + core + conversion : 23kg/kW

 $\rightarrow$  System mass (including PMAD& miscellaneous) expected around 33 kg/kW





# Gas cooled reactor with direct Brayton conversion

cnes





# Critical technologies and development philosophy

#### Critical technologies

- General :
  - Very high temperatures required whatever the solution
  - Command mechanisms (high temperature and high reliability)
  - Fuel assemblies
  - Radiators
  - Specific instrumentation
- Gas cooled
  - Gas turbine
- Liquid cooled
  - Heat pipe performances

### Development philosophy

- Conversion technology mock-ups with non nuclear heat source to validate critical aspects
- Core prototype for fuel qualification
- Full system on-ground prototype
- Final flight system

# **CORES** 10 kWe study - Core and Shield design

#### **Core description**

AREVA

- Hexagonal, based on pins developed in France for fast reactors
- Cooled by liquid metal heat pipes
- Beryllium reflector
- Thermal power from 5kWth to 200kWth



Thermal version moderated by ZrH T  $\leq$  900K





#### **Shield**

Classical shielding materials

- LiH for neutrons
- W for gammas

Spacecraft geometry is a key driver for performance

Mission duration and permissible flux impacts slightly the shield mass (10%)

# SYSTEM LEVEL TRADE-OFF



Mass penalty of using a fast core <10%

Stirling is the best option, especially when using a thermal reactor. Brayton is less attractive in this power range, Stirling machine's nearest competitor is thermoelectric generation

**Thermal spectrum option is better** for low power range, but the mass saving seems rapidly less significant when the power increases.

Even if there is a mass penalty due to the reactor we recommend the Stirling + Fast reactor option for growth potential:

the development of a thermal reactor only for this power range would not be worth the effort



High mass penalty for fast cores at low power levels (~30%)

## **MWE System: The MEGAHIT Project** GENERAL CONTEXT



#### European 7th Framework Programme

R&T program of the European Community

### Horizon 2020

- Next EC Research and Technology program starting in 2014
- Projects with a multi-annual structured agenda allowing to realize ambitious technology demonstrations ("strategic research clusters")

### Project MEGAHIT

- « supporting action », i.e. contribution for the implementation of the FP and preparation of future R&D activities
- The project objective is to propose a concrete action plan on high power electric propulsion for H2020
- It is also to create a technical and scientific community in Europe including Russian partners





















- Fuel and core (including shielding)
- Thermal control (heat transportation and radiating devices), •
- Conversion ٠
- Propulsion (electric thrusters), •
- Power management and distribution ٠
- Structure and spacecraft arrangement •
- Safety, regulations, public acceptance.



# **MEGAHIT - Reference missions**

for 1MWe 40t vehicle – study performed by KerC

# NEO deflection

deflection by acting as a gravity tractor. could deflect **Apophis** trajectory by 1 million kilometer.

# Lunar orbit tug

Several hundredths tons of payload can be brought in lunar orbit in 10 years.

## • Outer solar system missions

for **Europe** (Jovian moon) orbit :3 to 10t of payload for **Titan** 3 to 12 t of payload in Titan orbit



Astéroïde Apophis. Crédits : CNES/Ill. P. Carril

# Manned Mars mission cargo support mission

Can bring 15t in 400 days











